Juvenile Steelhead and Stream Habitat Conditions – Detailed Methods

Prepared by: DW ALLEY & Associates, Fishery Consultant

M-2. Classification of Habitat Types and Measurement of Habitat Conditions− Methods

In each watershed, ½-mile stream segments were habitat-typed within each reach, using a modified CDFG Level IV habitat inventory method; with fish sampling sites chosen within each segment based on average habitat conditions. See sampling methods for more details. Habitat types were classified according to the categories outlined in the California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual (**Flosi et al. 1998**). Habitat characteristics that were measured according to the manual's guidelines included length, width, mean depth, maximum depth, shelter rating and tree canopy (tributaries only in 1998). More detailed data were collected for escape cover than required by the manual to better quantify it in a biologically relevant manner.

M-3. Measurement of Habitat Conditions− Methods

During habitat typing, as in past years, visual estimates of substrate composition and embeddedness were made. The observer looked at the habitat and made mental estimates based on what he saw with his trained eye. Therefore, these estimates are somewhat subjective, with consistency between data collectors (D. Alley and C. Steiner) requiring calibration from one to the other. An assumption is that the same data collector will be consistent in visual estimates. Alley trained Steiner to be consistent ("calibrated") on visual estimates with himself. Reach segments previously habitat typed by either Alley or Steiner were repeated by the same data collector in future years for consistency. Changes in visual estimates of substrate abundance or embeddedness of about 10% or more between sites and years probably represent real differences in habitat quality. The previous years' data was not reviewed prior to data collection so as not to bias current data.

Fine Sediment. Fine sediment was visually estimated as particles smaller than approximately 0.08 inches. In the Santa Cruz Mountains, there is little gradual gradation in particle size between sand and larger substrate, making visual estimates of fines relatively easy. Annual consistency in data collecting personnel during habitat typing is important, however. Gravel-sized substrate is generally in short supply. The comparability of these visual estimates to data collection via pebble counts would depend on the skill of the visual estimator and the skill of the pebble count collectors. Untrained volunteers tend to select larger substrate to pick up and measure during pebble counts, resulting in an overestimate of particle size composition. The accuracy of pebble counts is also dependent on sample size. Neither the pebble count nor the visual estimate will provide data for substrate below the streambed surface. The McNeil Sampler may be used for core samples, and results from this method may not be comparable to the other methods. The substrate sampled with coring devices is restricted by the diameter of the sampler. Both pebble counting and core sampling are too labor intensive for habitat typing. We do not believe more in-depth estimates than those taken for percent fines are necessary for this fishery study.

Embeddedness. Embeddedness was visually estimated as the percent that cobbles and boulders larger than 150 mm (6 inches) in diameter were buried in finer substrate. Previous to 1999, the cobble range included substrate larger than 100 mm (4 inches). The change in cobble size likely had little effect on embeddedness estimates. The reason the cobble size was increased to 150 mm was because substrate smaller than that probably offered little benefit for fish escape cover, and embeddedness of smaller substrate was not a good indicator of habitat quality for fish.

Cobbles and boulders larger than approximately 150 mm in diameter provided good, heterogeneous habitat for aquatic insects in riffles and runs and some fish cover if embedded less than 25%. Cobbles and boulders larger than 225 mm provided the best potential fish cover if embedded less than 25%.

Tree Canopy Closure. Tree canopy closure was measured with a densiometer. Included in the tree canopy closure measurement were trees growing on slopes considerable distance from the stream. The percent deciduous value was based on visual estimates of the relative proportion of deciduous canopy closure provided to the stream channel. Tree canopy closure directly determines the amount of solar radiation that reaches the stream on any date of the year, but the relationship changes as the sun angle changes through the seasons and with stream orientation. Our measure of canopy closure estimated the percent of blue sky blocked by the vegetative canopy and was not affected by the sun angle.

Greater tree canopy inhibits warming of the water and is critically important in small tributaries. Increased water temperature increases the metabolic rate and food requirements of steelhead. Tree canopy in the range of 75-90% is optimal in the upper mainstem San Lorenzo River (Reaches 10-12) and tributaries because water temperatures are well within the tolerance range of juvenile steelhead and coho salmon. If reaches with low summer baseflow become unshaded, water temperature rapidly increases. Limited openings (10-15%) in the canopy provide some sunlight during the day for algal growth and visual feeding by fish. In the San Lorenzo River system, it is important that the tributaries remain well shaded so that tributary inflows to the mainstem are sufficiently cool to prevent excessively high water temperatures in the lower mainstem river (Reaches 1-5), where tree canopy is often in the 30-75% range. There is an inverse relationship between tree canopy and insect production in riffles, which allows faster steelhead growth in larger, mainstem reaches despite the elevated temperatures and steelhead metabolic rate (and associated food requirements). This is especially true downstream of the Zayante Creek confluence where deeper, fastwater feeding areas exist. In addition, very dense shading reduces visibility of drifting insect prey and reduces fish feeding efficiency. However, as fastwater feeding areas diminish in smaller stream channels with less streamflow further up the watershed, high water temperatures may increase steelhead food demands beyond the benefits of greater food production in habitat lacking in fastwater feeding areas. Here is where shade canopy must increase to maintain cooler water temperature and lowered metabolic rate and food requirements of juvenile steelhead.

Escape Cover– Fish Sampling Sites. The escape cover index for each habitat type within sampled sites was quantitatively determined in the same manner in 1994-2001 and in 2003 onward. Escape cover is important because the more there is, the higher the production of steelhead, particularly for steelhead => 75 mm SL. Escape cover was identified where fish could be completely hidden from view. It was not a measure of the less effective overhead cover that may be caused by surface turbulence or vegetation hanging over the water but not completely blocking the view from above. Water depth also provides some escape cover when 2 feet deep and good escape cover when it was 3 feet deep (1 meter) or greater. The summer escape cover (as unembedded cobbles, undercut banks and instream wood) also provides overwintering habitat in the tributaries. Objects of cover may include unembedded boulders, submerged woody debris, undercut banks, bubble curtains and overhanging tree branches and vines that enter the water. Man-made objects, such as boulder riprap and concrete debris also provide cover. Escape cover was measured as the ratio of the linear distance under submerged objects and undercut banks within the habitat type that fish at least 75 mm (3 inches) Standard Length could hide under, divided by the length of the habitat type. Measurement of escape cover at sampling sites allowed annual comparisons for habitats at historical fish sampling sites.

Escape Cover– Habitat Typing Method by Reach. Reach segment averages in 1997–2000, 2003, 2005 and onward for escape cover by habitat type were determined from habitat typed segments. Measurements were quantified by habitat type because in the mainstem San Lorenzo below the Boulder Creek confluence, fastwater habitat was the primary habitat of importance for juvenile steelhead. But in the upper San Lorenzo and San Lorenzo tributaries, as well as in all reaches in the other watersheds, pools were the habitats of primary importance for juvenile salmonids Reach cover indices were determined for habitat types in reach segments for purposes of annual comparisons. The escape cover index for each habitat type in a half-mile segment was measured as the ratio of linear feet of cover under submerged objects that Size Class II and III juveniles could hide under for all of that habitat type in the segment divided by total feet of stream channel as that habitat type in the reach segment. Steelhead habitat is illustrated in the following drawings.



Illustration of pool habitat (stream flowing from left to right) showing escape cover under boulders and undercut bank with tree roots. Juvenile steelhead are feeding at the head of the pool. (Female steelhead is covering her redd of eggs after spawning at the tail of the pool.)



Illustration of riffle habitat (stream flowing from left to right) showing escape cover under rootwad and boulders. (Juvenile steelhead are holding feeding positions, facing upstream.)

Water Depth, Channel Length and Channel Width. Water depth is important because deeper habitat is utilized more heavily by steelhead, especially by larger fish. Deeper pools are associated with scour objects that often provided escape cover. Mean depth and maximum depth were determined with a dip net handle, graduated in half-foot increments. Soundings throughout the habitat type were made to estimate mean and maximum depth. Annual comparisons of habitat depth were possible because measurements were taken in the fall of each year. Minimum depth was determined approximately one foot from the stream margin in earlier years. Stream length was measured with a hip chain. Width in each year was measured with the graduated dip net except in wider habitats of the mainstem. In wider habitats (greater than approximately 20 feet), a range finder was used to measure width.

Streamflow. Streamflow is an important aspect of habitat because it contributes to habitat depth and water velocity. Greater depth offers better rearing habitat. Faster water velocity offers better feeding habitat and higher growth rate. Assessment of streamflow at only established gages is insufficient to compare annual differences in streamflow throughout a watershed because streamflow decline in each tributary is not necessarily proportional to decline at a downstream gage, especially when specific aquifers are drawn down at variable municipal pumpage rates or specific tributary surface water is diverted at variable rates, which impact summer baseflow differently in wet versus dry years.

For 1995 and 1998 onward, the Marsh McBirney Model 2000 flowmeter was more extensively used at most sampling sites. Streamflow measurement was beyond the project scope and budget in 2006−2009 but was added back in 2010 and onward. Even so, streamflow was measured in 2006 at historical sites in the San Lorenzo watershed in fall before any fall storms, as in past years. Mean column velocity was measured at 20 or more verticals at each cross-section. For 2007−2015, streamflow measurements made by Santa Cruz County staff were used for annual comparisons.

M-4. Choice of Specific Habitats to be Sampled Within Reaches− Methods

Based on the habitat typing conducted in each reach prior to fish sampling, representative habitat units were selected with average habitat quality values in terms of water depth and escape cover to determine fish densities by habitat type. In mainstem reaches of the lower and middle San Lorenzo River (Sites 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 9), riffles and runs that were close to the average width and depth for the reach were sampled by electrofishing. Pools in these reaches were divided into long pools (greater than 200 feet long) and short pools (less than 200 feet) and at least one pool of each size class was either snorkel censused or electrofished. In these mainstem reaches, most fish were in the fastwater habitat of riffles, runs and the heads of pools and fish were not using most of the pool habitat. Some of the pools are hundreds of feet long with very few juveniles, except for those at the heads of pools. The sampling site in Reach 0a between the levees was chosen in

2009 because it was the only location downstream of Highway 1 where a pool and adjacent fastwater habitat could be sampled by electrofishing. Much of the reach was lagoon habitat due to a closed sandbar that summer. That site has been re-sampled since.

For all other reaches, including the upper San Lorenzo River above the Boulder Creek confluence, all San Lorenzo tributaries and in the Aptos and Corralitos watersheds, representative pools with average habitat quality in terms of water depth and escape cover were sampled. Pools were deemed representative if they had escape cover ratios and water depths similar to the average values for all pools in the half-mile segment that was habitat typed within the reach. Therefore, pools that were much deeper or much shallower than average or had much less or much more escape cover than average were not sampled. Once the pools were chosen for electrofishing, adjacent riffles, step-runs, runs and glides were sampled, as well. In these smaller channel situations, these latter habitat types showed great similarity to most other habitats of the same type. Namely, all riffles, runs and glides had similar depth and escape cover within their own habitat type designations.

Sampled units may change from year to year since habitat conditions change, and locations of individual habitat units may shift depending on winter storm conditions. Our assumption is that fish sampling of mean habitat quality will reflect representative habitat for the reach and provide typical, average fish densities for each habitat type in the reach. The assumption is that there is a correlation between fish density and habitat quality in that better habitat has more fish. Past modeling has indicated that increased densities of smolt-sized juveniles are positively associated with greater water depth and more escape cover in small, low summer flow streams (**Smith 1984**). Site densities were determined by calculating the number of juveniles present in each sampled habitat from electrofishing and/or snorkel censusing and adding those to numbers of juveniles from other habitats. The total number of fish was divided by the total lineal feet sampled at the site.

The proportion of habitat types sampled at each site within a reach were kept similar between years so that site densities could be compared for each reach. However, site density did not necessarily reflect fish densities for the entire reach because the habitat proportions sampled were not necessarily similar to the habitat proportions of the reach. In most cases, habitat proportions at sites were similar to habitat proportions in the reach because sampling sites were more or less continuous. However, in reaches where pools were less common, such as Reach 12a on the East Branch of Soquel Creek and in Reach 2 of Valencia Creek, a higher proportion of pool habitat was sampled than existed in the respective reaches. In these two cases, site densities were higher than reach densities. Prior to 2006, actual reach density and fish production could be compared between years and between reaches because fish densities by habitat type were extrapolated to reach density and an index of reach production according to reach proportions of habitat types.

M-5. Consistency of Data Collection Techniques in 1994-2001 and 2003 Onward− Methods

Habitat conditions of depth and escape cover were measured at the monitoring sites, consistent with methods used in 1981 and 1994-2001 and 2003 onward in the San Lorenzo River, Soquel Creek, Aptos Creek and Corralitos Creek watersheds. Donald Alley, the principal investigator and data collector in 1994−2001 and 2003 onward, had also collected the fish and habitat data at approximately half or more of the sites in the 1981 study for the County Water Master Plan that included the 4 watersheds in the current study, except for Aptos Creek (**Smith 1982**). His previous qualitative estimates of embeddedness, streambed composition and habitat types were calibrated to be consistent with those of Dr. Smith, the primary investigator for the 1981 sampling program. Mr. Alley's method of measuring escape cover for soon-to-smolt-sized (=>75 mm SL) and larger steelhead was consistent through the years, although the escape cover index in 1981 was based upon linear cover per habitat perimeter, and later escape cover indices were based on linear cover per habitat length. In 2006, Chad Steiner began assisting in habitat typing some reaches after being calibrated to be consistent with Mr. Alley's methods. During electrofishing from 1996 onward, block nets were used to partition habitats at all electrofishing sites to prevent steelhead escapement. A multiple-pass method was used in each habitat with at least three passes.

From 1998 onward, underwater visual (snorkel) censusing was incorporated with electrofishing so that pool habitat in the mainstem San Lorenzo River, which had been electrofished in past years, could be effectively censused despite it being too deep for backpack electrofishing. Snorkel censusing was also used to obtain density estimates in deeper pools previously unsampled prior to 1998 at Sites 2, 3, 7, 8 and 9, in an effort to increase the accuracy of production estimates. A better juvenile production estimate and predictions of adult returns were made with snorkel censusing of pool habitat in the mainstem San Lorenzo River for 1998–2005. In 2006−2015, deeper pools were snorkel-censused at Sites 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 9 in the lower and middle mainstem San Lorenzo to determine site densities only. All other watersheds were sampled by electrofishing only.

The City of Santa Cruz funded a separate San Lorenzo watershed sampling effort in 2002 (**H.T. Harvey & Associates (HTH) 2003**). Much of their data were not included in this report because their methods were different from ours. The method used for choosing nonrandom fish sampling sites was not provided in their report. Their size class divisions of juvenile steelhead differed from ours, thus preventing annual comparisons by size class. Therefore, only 2002 total densities were graphed in this report. HTH did not compute densities by age class. In 2002, HTH sampled random and nonrandom sites in the middle mainstem San Lorenzo and compared results from both methods. HTH found good correlation for juvenile densities between random and nonrandom sampling sites, especially in riffles and runs. HTH found higher steelhead densities in some mainstem pools of the middle mainstem than our earlier sampling. However, this may have been an artifact of HTH eliminating about 20% of the pools for inventory because they were judged either to be too deep or had too much cover for censusing, creating a bias toward short, shallow pools that would yield higher densities and misrepresent typical long mainstem pool habitat with fewer steelhead. In typical mainstem pools, juvenile steelhead inhabit primarily a short portion of fastwater habitat at the heads of long pools, which typically span hundreds of feet in length, with the majority of the pool length being unused and yielding low overall steelhead pool density. HTH's 2002 juvenile densities in the San Lorenzo system were generally above average compared to other years, which was consistent with D.W. ALLEY & Associates findings in Soquel Creek in 2002. For a more detailed review of HTH findings, please refer to our 2003 censusing report (**Alley 2004**).

M-6. Assessing Change in Rearing Habitat Quality− Methods

Change in rearing habitat quality was based on changes in reach segment habitat conditions, if the reach was habitat typed in successive years. If it was not, then habitat conditions in replicated sampling sites were compared between years. Elements of habitat change in the lower San Lorenzo mainstem (downstream of the Zayante Creek confluence) were assessed in fastwater habitat (runs and riffles) where most juvenile steelhead inhabited. In all other sites, primarily habitat conditions in pools were considered. Increased escape cover, increased habitat depth, increased baseflow, reduced embeddedness and reduced percent fines constituted positive change, in order of decreasing importance, except in the lower San Lorenzo mainstem where increased baseflow was considered most important. Spring and summer/fall baseflow were considered. Change in linear escape cover of 1 foot per 100 feet of stream channel (0.010) constituted significant habitat change. Change in average maximum pool depth was more significant than change in average mean pool depth in sites beyond the lower San Lorenzo mainstem. A change in 0.1−0.2 ft or more in either pool depth constituted significant habitat change. A change in 0.1 ft or more in fastwater habitat depth constituted significant habitat change in the lower/middle San Lorenzo mainstem below the Boulder Creek confluence. Embeddedness and percent fines must have changed at least 10 percent to constitute change because these factors are visually estimated and less than 10% changes are difficult to detect visually. Decreased escape cover, habitat depth or baseflow indicated negative habitat change, along with increased embeddedness and increased fines. Assessment is more complex when some factors improve while others decline or remain similar between years. This is when order of importance plays a key role in judging overall habitat change.

Sometimes, habitat characteristics change together. Pool depth will increase due to increased scour, which also may occur during a wet year with associated high baseflow. Greater scour may also reduce embeddedness and increase escape cover under boulders and instream wood. However, if high stormflows are associated with high erosion and sedimentation, pool depth and escape cover may diminish as embeddedness increases afterwards, despite higher baseflow. Sometimes during a mild winter, sedimentation is reduced and escape cover and pool depth may increase because sediment is removed from the streambed. Embeddedness and percent fines may be reduced in this scenario.

If YOY growth rate increased when YOY density was similar to or more than in the previous year, rearing habitat was assessed to have improved due to primarily increased baseflow (usually spring baseflow). However, if juvenile numbers =>75 mm SL were much less compared to the previous year, rearing habitat change could be negative if escape cover or pool depth decreased, even though YOY growth rate had increased. Rearing habitat quality was judged independent of juvenile steelhead densities.

M-7. Juvenile Steelhead Densities at Sampling Sites − Methods

Electrofishing was used at sampling sites to determine steelhead densities according to two juvenile age classes and three size classes in all 4 watersheds. Block nets were used at all sites to separate habitats during electrofishing. A three-pass depletion process was used to estimate fish densities. If poor depletion occurred with 3 passes, a fourth pass was performed and the number of fish captured in 4 passes represented a total count for the habitat. Electrofishing mortality rate has been approximately 1% or less over the years. Snorkel-censusing was used in deeper pools that could not be electrofished at sites in the mainstem reaches of the San Lorenzo River, downstream of the Boulder Creek confluence. Underwater censusing of deeper pools was incorporated with electrofishing data from more shallow habitats to provide density estimates.

Visual censusing was judged inappropriate in habitats other than deep mainstem San Lorenzo pools because it would be inaccurate in heavily utilized fastwater habitat in the mainstem and in 80-90% of the habitat in tributaries. Shallow depth and poor visibility prevent most all habitats in tributary reaches and fastwater riffles of the mainstem reaches from being effectively censused by snorkeling. In Santa Cruz Mountain watersheds, tributaries to mainstems often flow through steep-walled canyons, consisting of densely shaded pools with undercut banks and other cover complexity, along with shallow fastwater habitat usually averaging 0.5 feet in depth or less. Mainstem riffles, where juvenile densities are especially high, usually average less than a foot in depth. Furthermore, our level of data analysis requires dividing juveniles into size and age classes to adequately evaluate the composition of juvenile populations with regard to potential smolt size and annual growth rates, which cannot be effectively accomplished by snorkeling unless juvenile densities are very low. However, as is typical, 24 of 26 sampled tributary pools in the San Lorenzo system (typically 50-100 feet long) had more than 20 juvenile steelhead in 2005. And densities are typically between 50 and 100 juveniles per 100 feet at sampling sites (**Figure 23**). Inventory by size class requires actual measurement of individuals with graduated rulers.

In larger rivers of northern California, density estimates from electrofishing are commonly combined with those determined by underwater observation in habitats too deep for electrofishing. Ideally, underwater censusing would be calibrated to electrofishing data in habitat where capture approached 100%. Calibration was originally attempted by Hankin and Reeves (**1988**) for small trout streams. Their intent was to substitute snorkel censusing for electrofishing. However, attempts at calibration of the two methods of censusing in large, deep pools of the mainstem San Lorenzo River was judged impractical, beyond the scope of the study and probably inadequate.

Two divers were used in snorkel censusing. Visual censusing of deeper pools occurred prior to electrofishing of sites. In wide pools, divers divided the channel longitudinally into counting lanes, combining their totals after traversing the habitat in an upstream direction. Divers would warn each other of juveniles being displaced into the other's counting lane to prevent double- counting. For juveniles near the boundaries of adjacent counting lanes, divers would verbally agree to who would include them in their tallies. In narrower pools, divers would alternate passes through the pool to obtain replicates to be averaged. In most pools, three replicate passes were accomplished per pool. The relative proportions of steelhead in the three Size Classes obtained from electrofishing were considered in dividing visually censused steelhead into size and age classes. The average number of steelhead observed per pass in each age and size category became the density estimate. In Reaches 1−4, most juveniles were greater than 75 mm SL, and yearlings were considerably larger than YOY fish. It was relatively easy to separate fish into size and age classes. In Reaches 6−9, more juveniles are normally around 75 mm SL, leading to a small error in deciding division between Size Classes 1 and 2. Age classes were easily distinguished.

Steelhead were visually censused for two size classes of pools in the San Lorenzo. There were short pools less than approximately 200 feet in length and those more than approximately 200 feet. Juvenile densities in censused pools were extrapolated to other pools in their respective size categories. Steelhead were censused by size and age class, as in electrofishing. If less than 20 juveniles were observed in a pool, the maximum number observed on a pass was the estimate. When 20 or more fish were observed, the average of the three passes was the best estimate.

Visual censusing by snorkeling offered realistic density estimates of steelhead in deeper mainstem pools. It was the only practical way to inventory such pools, which were mostly bedrock- or boulder- scoured and had limited escape cover. Visibility was usually 10 feet or more, making the streambed and counting lanes observable. Relatively few steelhead used these pools in 1999-2001 and 2003-2015, compared to 1998 when mainstem baseflow was considerably higher (minimum of 30 cubic feet per second at the Big Trees Gage compared to approximately 20 cfs or less in later years).

M-7. Age and Size Class Divisions− Methods

With electrofishing data, the young-of-the-year (YOY) age class was separated from the yearling and older age class in each habitat, based on the site-specific break in the length-frequency distribution (histogram) of fish lengths combined into 5 mm groupings. Also, scale analysis was utilized in the past for fish captured at lower mainstem sites in the San Lorenzo River and Soquel Creek. Density estimates of age classes in each habitat type were determined by the standard depletion model used with multiple pass capture data. Densities were expressed in fish per 100 feet of channel and determined in the lowest baseflow period when juvenile salmonids remain in specific habitats without up or downstream movement. Density is typically provided per channel length by convention and convenience, and may be accurately measured quickly. Consistent density measurement allows valid annual comparisons.

Depletion estimates of juvenile steelhead density were applied separately to two size categories in each habitat at each site. The number of fish in Size Class 1 and combined Classes 2 and 3 were recorded for each pass. The size class boundary between Size Classes 1 and 2 was 75 mm Standard

Length (SL) (3 inches) because smaller fish would almost always spend another growing season in freshwater before smolting and entering the ocean the following spring. Although some fish larger than 75 mm SL stayed a second year in the stream, the majority of fish captured during fall sampling that were larger than 75 mm SL were found to smolt the very next spring to enter the ocean. These assumptions are based on scale analysis, back-calculated annuli and Standard Length determinations by Smith of steelhead smolts captured in spring of 1987 and 1989 (**Smith unpublished**). He found that 97% of a random sample (n=248) of yearling smolts in spring were 76 mm SL or longer after their first growing season. In addition, about 75% of smolts that were 75 mm SL or larger at their first annulus (n=319) smolted as yearlings. All 2-year old smolts from a random sample (n=156) were larger than 75 mm SL after 2 growing seasons prior to smolting. Also, 95% of these 2-year olds were at least 60 mm SL after their first growing season, indicating that few YOY less than 60 mm SL after their first growing season survived to smolt.

The depletion method estimated the number of fish in each sampled habitat in two size categories; those less than (<) 75 mm SL (Class 1) and those equal to or greater than (=>) 75 mm SL (Classes 2 and 3). Then, the number of juveniles => 75 mm SL (Class 2) was estimated separately from the juveniles => 150 mm SL (Class 3). This was done by multiplying the proportion of each size class (Class 2 and 3 separately) in the group of captured fish by the estimate of fish density for all fish => 75 mm SL. A density estimate for each habitat type at each site was then determined for each size class. Densities in each habitat type were added together and divided by the total length of that habitat type at the sampling site to obtain a density estimate by habitat type.

The depletion method was also used to estimate the number of fish in each sampled habitat based on 2 age classes: young-of-the-year (YOY) and yearling and older (1+) age classes. Age classes in the mainstem San Lorenzo and mainstem Soquel Creek were determined by scale analysis of a spectrum of fish sizes in 2007. A total of 28 larger San Lorenzo juvenile steelhead and 10 larger Soquel Creek juveniles were aged by scale analysis, along with 20 juveniles from Soquel Lagoon. These limited results showed that the majority of fish => 75 mm SL in the mainstems and lagoon were YOY, but also included yearlings that moved into the mainstem after slow tributary growth in their first year. These data provided information for age class division for both watersheds. Scale analysis, along with past experience of growth rates, and breaks in fish length histograms were used to discern age classes at other sampling sites. Density estimates determined by size class and age class were not the same when YOY reached Size Class II by fall.

In 2015, the second lowest baseflow year since sampling began, only the lower mainstem Sites 0, 1 and 2 of the San Lorenzo River had a proportion of YOY steelhead reaching Size Class 2 size in one growing season when juveniles were well represented. At Site 4 below Zayante Creek, most YOY were less than 75 mm SL. No YOY reached 75 mm SL in the middle mainstem San Lorenzo Sites 6 and 8, with only a few at Site 9. Middle Bean, Lompico, upper Fall, Newell, Bear and middle Branciforte creeks had YOY reaching the larger size class, but YOY juvenile densities were very low at these sites in 2015. In the sunny middle Reach 13c of Zayante Creek, 30% of YOY reached Size Class II despite high densities and low baseflow, as did more than 30% in the wetter years of 2010 and 2011. Growth had been slower in 2014. The lower mainstem of Soquel Creek showed slow growth in 2015, with the majority of YOY being less than 75 mm SL at Sites 1 and 4. The upper mainstem Sites 10 and 12 had no YOY reaching Size Class II. In this monitoring report, sampling site densities were compared for 18 years in the San Lorenzo system by size and age (1997−2001 and 2003 onward) and for 19 years in Soquel Creek (1997 onward). At each sampling site, habitat types were sampled separately, with density estimates calculated for each habitat by size class and age class. Then these density estimates were combined and divided by the stream length of the entire site to calculate annual site density.

M-8. Index of Abundance of Size Class II and III Steelhead by Watershed− Methods

Indices of watershed abundance (production) of Size Class II and III steelhead for sampled reaches were calculated to compare annual differences with reach lengths incorporated with site densities. 2010 abundance was compared to 2014 and 2015 abundance to contrast production in a year with a near median statistic of baseflow in late spring through fall (2010) with production in critically dry years (2014 and 2015). This contrast would better describe the extreme reduction in abundance in a critically dry year more so than just comparing site densities.

In each sampled watershed, an index of reach abundance was calculated for Size Class II and III juveniles (soon-to-smolt fish) in all reaches sampled. Then reach abundances were added together to obtain a watershed index of these larger juveniles for the reaches sampled. Indices of reach abundances were calculated by multiplying density estimates determined by electrofishing and snorkeling for Size Class II and III juveniles for each habitat type at the sampling site within the reach by the total distance of that habitat type estimated for the entire reach. Habitat percentages were estimated in the reach segments that were habitat typed. If the reach segment was not habitat typed for the year in which an abundance index was being calculated, the most recent habitat typing data for that reach segment was used to determine habitat percentage. For example, for Zayante Creek Reach 13d, the reach length was estimated to be 13,886 feet. In 2010, pool habitat was estimated as 57% in the habitat typed reach segment. The soon-to-smolt density for pool habitat was estimated to be 0.066 per foot, based on electrofishing at the representative site for Zayante Reach 13d. To get the index of reach abundance of soon-to-smolt juveniles for pool habitat in this reach, the product was calculated as follows; 13,886 feet for total reach length estimated from the USGS topography map, multiplied by 0.57 for the reach percentage of pool habitat determined by habitat typing the reach segment, multiplied by 0.066 for the density per foot of pool habitat, equaling 522.39 Size Class II and III juveniles for pool habitat in the reach. The same calculations were made for other habitat types, including riffles (6%) and runs/step-runs (37%). Then numbers of fish were then added together for all habitat types to obtain a reach abundance index. For 2010, the reach abundance index for Zayante 13d was 1,314 Size Class II and III juveniles for all habitat types combined. Then the reach abundances for each sampled reach were added together to obtain a watershed abundance index for that year for those sampled reaches. Watershed indices of abundance for different years were then compared for the same reaches, based on the habitat proportions determined by reach from habitat typing in those years or the most recent years prior to index calculation.